Consistency of the Strip Approximation*

C. EDWARD JoNEsf

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University oj California, Berkeley, California (Received 27 January 1964)

An investigation is made to determine those properties demanded of the Regge-pole formulas used by Chew and Jones in order to ensure the consistency of the strip approximation. A study of the asymptotic behavior of the Regge parameters based on the dynamical equations is also made in relation to the same question. The conclusion is drawn that the dynamical equations appear capable of producing solutions that are essentially self-consistent with the strip approximation that was used as an input. The Chew-Jones Regge-pole formula is also compared with one suggested earlier by Khuri.

I. **INTRODUCTION**

IN the preceding paper¹ a specific calculational
scheme is given for bootstrapping the top-lying scheme is given for bootstrapping the top-lying Regge trajectories by means of a set of *N/D* equations. To produce the bootstrap cycle, the input of the calculation is parameterized in terms of the Regge trajectories of the crossed channels² and the output trajectories are required to agree self-consistently with the input. The contributions associated with Regge trajectories that form the input of the calculation are expressed by formulas that are assumed to give a good approximation to the scattering amplitude in certain strip regions of the Mandelstam diagram shown in Fig. 1.

The object of the discussion here will be to establish in more detail the conditions under which the strip approximation is expected to be consistent. In Sec. II we shall study the crossing symmetric Regge representation used by Chew and Jones (CJ) as the basis for the strip approximation to see what properties are required for it to be an accurate representation of the amplitude. The validity of the strip approximation is closely linked with the asymptotic properties of the Regge parameters, and in Sec. Ill we discuss what the dynamical equations predict about these properties.

II. CROSSING SYMMETRIC REGGE REPRESENTATION AND THE STRIP APPROXIMATION

We examine here the crossing symmetric Regge representation, which is the basis for the strip approximation,¹ to see that it conforms to all reasonable physical requirements and that it is consistent with the basic approximation scheme. The main object of the strip approximation in CJ is to determine an approximate representation for the function $B_l^P(s)$, thus determining the kernel of the dynamical equation.

Representing $B_l^P(s)$ by a few leading Regge-pole terms in the / and *u* channels appears to be the best parameterization of the input to the *N/D* equations yet discovered. These terms include the effects of resonances in the crossed channels which dominate the nearby portion of the left-hand cut. To the extent that the Mandelstam cuts can be considered weak in comparison to the poles (see Sec. VII of Ref. 1), these terms also correctly characterize the asymptotic behavior on the left- and right-hand cuts. Most previous calculations have been content with representing correctly only the nearby part of the left-hand cut, and ignoring inelastic effects (such as keeping one term of a polynomial expansion in the crossed channel, as was proposed in the original work by Chew and Mandelstam³). Even admitting that the Regge parameterization is desirable, there is still the question remaining of what form the Regge representation should take. We shall examine here the one proposed by CJ and compare it with one suggested earlier by Khuri.⁴

What we seek is an approximate representation of the full amplitude of the form

$$
A(s,t,u) \approx \sum_{i} [R_i^{t_1}(s,t) + \xi_i R_i^{u_1}(s,u)]
$$

+
$$
\sum_{j} [R_j^{s_1}(t,s) + \xi_j R_j^{u_1}(t,u)]
$$

+
$$
\sum_{k} [R_k^{s_1}(u,s) + \xi_k R_k^{t_1}(u,t)], \quad (\text{II}.1)
$$

where we have a sum over the leading Regge pole terms in the *s, t,* and *u* channels, respectively. (The $\xi_{i,j,k}$ are signature factors and we have used the notation in CJ.) The strip regions in which the various terms of (II. 1) are assumed to dominate the amplitude are shown in Fig. 1. These regions include the lowenergy range of all three channels and the high-energy domain near the forward and backward directions. The companion diagram, Fig. 2, shows the corresponding regions where the Mandelstam double-spectral functions are dominated by Regge pole terms. As explained in Ref. 1, our $B_l^P(s)$ input is to be determined by the *t* and *u* Regge terms from (II. 1) as well as by the left-hand cut contribution from the *s* Regge term.

^{*} Work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

f Present address: Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey. 1 G. F. Chew and C. E. Jones, preceding article, Phys. Rev. 134, B208 (1964), hereafter referred to as CJ.

² In a complete calculation there is also a contribution to the input coming from the direct-channel Regge trajectories, as explained in Ref. 1.

³ C. F. Chew and S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. 119, 467 (1960); Nuovo Cimento 19, 752 (1961).

⁴ N. Khuri, Phys. Rev. Letters 10, 420 (1963); Phys. Rev. 132, 914 (1963).

We now list the desired properties of our Regge representation $(II.1)$:

(1) Near the resonances in each channel the expression should go over to the usual Breit-Wigner form with the correct position and width. This means that in the angular-momentum plane there should be a pole at $l = \alpha(s,t)$, or *u*) in the amplitude, with the correct residue, $\beta(s, t, \text{or } u)$.

(2) Each Regge term should give the correct asymptotic behavior in the strip region in which it dominates. For example, the s-channel term must have a behavior $c_i(s)t^{\alpha_i(s)}$ as $t \to \infty$, where the power and the coefficient are correct.

(3) No spurious poles are permitted in the *l* plane to the right of Rel= $-\frac{1}{2}$. Spurious poles which approach the right of $\text{Re}l = -\frac{1}{2}$. Spurious poles which approach the physical region are obviously unwanted and have

the effect of distorting the left-hand cut.
(4) Each Regge term should satisfy the Mandelstam representation with a double-spectral function charrepresentation with a double-spectral function characteristic of the strip region in which it dominates.

(See Fig. 2.)
(5) Each Regge term should vanish asymptotically in a direction perpendicular to its strip. Thus an s-channel Regge term gives the asymptotic behavior of the amplitude as $t \to \infty$, but is required to vanish as $s \rightarrow \infty$. This requirement is very important if we are to $s \rightarrow \infty$. This requirement is very important if we are to be certain that the part of the amplitude neglected in (II.1) is small. This requirement means that a Regge term will contribute asymptotically in a direction perpendicwill contribute asymptotically in a direction perpendicular to its strip no more strongly than the background term of a Sommerfeld-Watson transform.
In order to establish a representation (II.1) satisfying

properties 1 through 5 we shall assume: (a) the partialwave amplitude in each of the three channels is meromorphic with only Regge poles to the right of Rel = $-\frac{1}{2}$; (b) the actual residues of the Regge poles, β , vanish asymptotically at least as fast as the inverse square asymptotically at least as fast as the inverse square root of energy, to within logarithmic factors³ order for the specific representation we discuss to satisfy requirement 3, we must assume that all Regge poles requirement 3, we must assume that all Regge poles that reach the right-half *I* plane restrict their movement

FIG. 1. Region of validity of strip approximation.

5 Requiring the residues to vanish as the inverse square root is somewhat arbitrary; the arguments given here can be made if the residues vanish with any power. Of course, from the point of view of a practical calculation, the stronger the vanishing, the better.

i strip

FIG. 2. Regions where Regge terms dominate the double-spectral functions.

It may be possible to invent a representation $(II.1)$ which dispenses with assumption (c); however, it appears quite possible in the CJ model that the equation will actually generate solutions having property (c).

We now show that if we make the above assumptions, the representation given in CJ has the properties required. We look at $R_i^{t_1}(s,t)$ defined by

$$
R_i^{t_1}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[2\alpha_i(s) + 1 \right] \gamma_i(s) \left(-q_s^2 \right)^{\alpha_i(s)}
$$

$$
\times \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{dt'}{t'-t} P_{\alpha_i(s)}(-1-t'/2q_s^2), \quad (II.2)
$$

where $\gamma_i(s)$ is the actual residue $\beta_i(s)$ divided by $(q_s^2)^{\alpha_i(s)}$, and $q_s^2 = s/4-1$. Equation (II.2), as it stands, is well defined for $\alpha_i(s) < 0$, and is to be determined in other regions by analytic continuation. We see immediately by inspection that $R_i^{t_1}(s,t)$ satisfies property (4), having a double-spectral function with asymptotes $s = s_0$, $t = t_1$.

Using the dispersion relation for Legendre functions of complex order, we may rewrite Eq. (II.2)

$$
R_i^{t_1}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[2\alpha_i(s) + 1 \Big] \gamma_i(s) \left(-q_s^2 \right)^{\alpha_i(s)}
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \frac{-\pi}{\sin \pi \alpha_i(s)} P_{\alpha_i(s)} \Big[1 + (t/2q_s^2) \Big] + \int_{-1 - (t_1/2q_s^2)}^1 dz' \frac{P_{\alpha_i(s)}(z')}{z' + 1 + (t/2q_s^2)} \right\} . \quad (II.3)
$$

The first term in (II.3) is just the ordinary Regge-pole formula, which then has a pole in the angular momentum at $l = \alpha_i(s)$ with the correct residue, $\beta_i(s)$. As is well known, the first term also possesses a spurious pole in the *l* plane at $l = -\alpha_i(s) - 1$, but as long as we make assumption (c) it will never reach the right-half *I* plane. The integral term in (II.3) has, for fixed *s,* an asymptotic t expansion consisting of integral powers of $1/t$. This means that this term can have, at worst, a sequence of fixed poles in the *I* plane at the negative integers. Properties (1) and (3) are thus verified.

Asymptotic behavior in *t* for fixed *s* is clearly governed by the first term of (II.3) and has the correct form required by property (2).

For the asymptotic properties perpendicular to the strip we must look at the second term of (II.3), which for large *s* and fixed *t* diverges at the lower limit of integration. In this neighborhood, we can write

$$
\int_{-1-(t_1/2q_s^2)}^1 dz' \frac{P_{\alpha_i(s)}(z')}{z'+1+(t/2q_s^2)}
$$
\n
$$
\approx \text{const} \int_{\substack{s \to \infty \\ t \text{ fixed}}}^1 dz' \frac{\ln(z'+1)}{z'+1+(t/2q_s^2)} \propto \ln^2 s, \text{ (II.4)}
$$

and thus

$$
R_i^{t_1}(s,t) \underset{\substack{s \to \infty \\ t \text{ fixed}}} \text{const} \beta_i(s) \ln^2 s. \tag{II.5}
$$

With assumption (b), this establishes property (5).

We now wish to verify that Eq. $(II.1)$ constitutes a good approximation to the amplitude in the sense that the remainder of the amplitude (presumably depending almost exclusively on portions of the double-spectral functions not shaded in Fig. 2) vanishes asymptotically in each direction at least as fast as the inverse square root of the energy variable. This follows from assumption (a).

To carry out the proof, we break the amplitude up into the contributions coming from each double-spectral function. We consider $A_{st}(s,t)$ arising from the (s,t) double-spectral function. The partial-wave amplitudes which result from $A_{st}(s,t)$ by projection in the s and t channels possess the same Regge poles in those channels as the full amplitude $A(s,t)$. We now perform a Sommerfeld-Watson transformation on $A_{st}(s,t)$ in the *t* channel; when this is accomplished we replace the ordinary Regge-pole term with *Rjsi(t,s),* incorporating the difference into the background. Thus we write⁶

$$
A_{st}(s,t) = A_{st}^{Bt}(s,t) + R_j^{s_1}(t,s), \qquad (II.6)
$$

where the first term on the right represents the *t* background term. Asymptotically in *s,* we may write

$$
A_{st}^{Bt}(s,t) \leq \text{const/s}^{1/2}. \qquad (\text{II}.7)
$$

$$
\sum_{\substack{s \to \infty \\ t \text{ fixed}}} \text{const/s}^{1/2}.
$$

We can now perform a Sommerfeld-Watson transformation of (II.6) in the *s* channel and, recalling the asymptotic *t* behavior of the second term,

$$
R_j^{s_1}(t,s) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{t \to \infty} \beta_j(t) \ln^2 t, \qquad \text{(II.8)}
$$

we see that with assumption (b) this term can be identified as a part of the *s* channel background term. Finally, therefore, we may write

$$
A_{st}(s,t) = A_{st}^{Bts}(s,t) + R_i^{t_1}(s,t) + R_j^{s_1}(t,s), \quad (II.9)
$$

where the first term on the right of (II.9) must vanish asymptotically as the inverse square root in either *s or t.*

An identical argument may be carried out for the segments of $A(s,t)$ coming from the other two doublespectral functions, and the validity of the representation $(II.1)$ is established.

Khuri⁴ has recently proposed an alternative Reggepole formula to Eq. (II.2). The two formulas differ in an important way and we now wish to compare them. The link between (II.2) and the Khuri formula is most easily established by replacing $P_{\alpha_i(s)}$ in (II.2) by its asymptotic expansion in *t'.*

Assuming $\text{Re}\alpha_i(s) > -\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$
R_i^{t_1}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[2\alpha_i(s) + 1 \right] \gamma_i(s) \left(-q_s^2 \right) \alpha_i(s)
$$

$$
\times \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{dt'}{t'-t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(s) \left(\frac{-t'}{2q_s^2} \right) \alpha_i(s) - n \tag{II.10}
$$

Khuri's Regge term, $\widetilde{R}_i{}^{t_1}(s,t),$ results from taking only a finite number of terms in (11.10) determined by the maximum excursion of $\alpha_i(s)$ for real *s*. Specifically, Khuri drops those terms which decrease at infinity at least as fast as the inverse square root of *t'* for all real values of *s*. The correct asymptotic *t* behavior is clearly preserved in this case and the pole term is correctly present, satisfying our properties (1) and (2).

The important difference between (11.10) and (II.2) is in the asymptotic *s* behavior.⁴ Equation (11.10) contains the feature that the asymptotic *s* behavior depends as follows upon the number of terms *N* that are retained in the sum,⁷

$$
\widetilde{R}_i^{t_1}(s,t) \longrightarrow \underset{\substack{s \to \infty \\ t \text{ fixed}}} \text{const} \beta_i(s) (q_s^2)^{N-\alpha_i(s)}. \tag{II.11}
$$

In order to satisfy property (5), and also the condition that the remainder of the amplitudes after the Khuri terms are removed vanishes in all directions like the background term, we must make different assumptions about the asymptotic behavior of the $\beta_i(s)$ than were made in (b). Specifically, in the Khuri case the $\beta_i(s)$ must generally vanish more strongly, the precise power required depending upon the maximum rightward excursion of the Regge pole $\alpha_i(s)$. This is the heart of the distinction between the two approaches, namely, a difference in the assumptions about the asymptotic behavior of the residues.

We find no reason to support the notion that the asymptotic behavior of the residues is linked to the number of resonances or bound states produced by a given Regge trajectory, and so we tend to favor assumption (b) made in CJ, and the use of expression (II.2). The estimates given in the next section of the asymptotic behavior of the Regge parameters based upon the dynamical equations also appear to support assumption (b). Although a potential-theory argument on this point must be considered weak, we note that in nonrelativistic potential scattering there is no correlation

⁶ This line of argument is closely related to the one given by Khuri in Ref. 4.

⁷ The $c_n(s)$ functions that appear in Eq. (II.10) for the cases we are considering will generally approach constants at infinity.

between the asymptotic behavior of the residue and the rightward excursion of the Regge trajectory.

III. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF REGGE PARAMETERS

The dynamical equations in CJ determine the Regge trajectories $l = \alpha(s)$ through solution of the equation

$$
D_l(s) = 0. \tag{III.1}
$$

This can be solved for $s < s_0$, where all quantities involved are real. Once $\alpha(s)$ is determined, the residue may be calculated. In fact the self-consistency requirement of the bootstrap calculation is satisfied by matching the Regge parameters which go into determining $B_l^P(s)$ with those computed using (III.1). Of immediate interest is the question of the asymptotic behavior of trajectories and residues. We have seen in the previous section that a consideration of this point is quite important in establishing the consistency of the strip approximation.

First, we discuss the asymptotic behavior of $\alpha(s)$, which is determined by the solutions of (III.I) as $s \rightarrow \infty$. We know $D_i \rightarrow 1$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, so it appears reasonable that if the top-lying trajectories approach distinct limits as $s \rightarrow \infty$, this limit must be a fixed infinite-type l singularity of $D_l(s)$. To illustrate we consider the mechanism of a fixed simple pole in *I* discussed in CJ. In this case we can write, for $D_l(s)$,

$$
D_l(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi \left[l - \alpha(\infty) \right]} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} ds' \frac{r(s', l)}{s' - s}, \quad (III.2)
$$

where $r(s,l)$ is regular at $l = \alpha(\infty)$. Solving Eq. (III.1) in the high-energy limit gives

$$
\alpha(s) - \alpha(\infty) = d/s + \text{terms of order } 1/s^2,
$$

\n
$$
d = (1/\pi) \int_{s_0}^{s_1} ds' r[s', \alpha(\infty)].
$$
\n(III.3)

It is argued in CJ that the fixed poles that occur arise from the Fredholm character of the basic equation. The explicit expression for the Fredholm kernel $K'(s,s')$ that results from the dynamical equations is given by Eq. (1.11) of Ref. 8. In this problem it is important to note that the kernel is actually a function of the eigenparameter /, rather than being a simple multiplicative factor. In regions where the kernel $K_l'(s,s')$ is locally an analytic function of *I,* this cannot change the nature of the eigenvalue problem, for $K_l'(s,s')$ can be expanded in these regions to give in a neighborhood the usual linear dependence on *I.* This functional dependence of the kernel on the parameter l , however, modifies the solution as a function of *I* from what would be expected in the usual Fredholm case, and it becomes an important problem to study the singularities of the kernel in *I.* In the standard case the eigenparameter

simply multiplies the kernel and the solution possesses poles in the *I* plane given by the zeroes of the Fredholm determinant, which is a holomorphic function. These poles possess no point of accumulation in the finite plane.

In our case, the kernel $K_l'(s,s')$ will generally possess poles as well as branch points, and the above picture becomes considerably more complicated. Near fixed poles of the kernel in / we expect an accumulation of Fredholm poles, since the kernel becomes unbounded in such a neighborhood. Branch points in the kernel may be transmitted more or less directly to the solution, or such singularities may be modified in the process, depending on the singularity type. In any event the singularity structure of the kernel $K_l'(s,s')$ in *l* will clearly play an important role in determining the nature of the dynamical solutions, and (as already discussed) we also expect it to play a central role in determining the asymptotic behavior of the Regge parameters.

The Fredholm kernel $K_l'(s,s')$ has essentially the same singularities as $B_l^P(s)$ has in the *l* plane, and we shall begin our discussion by locating important singularities of $B_l^P(s)$. As shown in CJ, if the residues vanish sufficiently fast at infinity the leading singularity will be the Gribov-Pomeranchuk⁹ pole at $l = -1$. In the discussion that follows, we make the assumption that the Gribov-Pomeranchuk pole is the dominant singularity of $B_l^P(s)$. This assumption can be directly justified if the reduced residues, $\gamma_i(s)$, vanish strongly at infinity and high-energy elastic scattering data lend experimental support to this notion (see Sec. VI of CJ).¹⁰ The unbounded character of the function near $l=-1$ is expected to produce an accumulation point for Fredholm poles, and if these poles are distinct we may focus our attention on the one standing farthest to the right at $l = \alpha(\infty)$. The pole produced in the solution of the integral equation for $N_l(s)$ is carried over to $D_l(s)$ by the relation

$$
D_l(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} ds' \frac{\rho_l(s')N_l(s')}{s'-s} . \tag{III.4}
$$

If we assume a simple pole at $l = \alpha(\infty)$, the situation of Eq. (III.2) is produced and the asymptotic behavior (III.3) is found for $\alpha(s)$. Note that the Fredholm pole occurs in both N and D , thereby cancelling out in the complete amplitude. This is as it should be, for the complete amplitude has no fixed pole at $l = \alpha(\infty)$. We also point out that $B_l^P(s)$ is regular at $l = \alpha(\infty)$. To determine the corresponding asymptotic behavior for the reduced residue $\gamma(s)$ we use the fact

$$
\gamma(s) = \frac{N_{\alpha(s)}(s)}{d_{D_l}(s)/dl} \bigg|_{t=\alpha(s)}.
$$
 (III.5)

⁸ G. F. Chew, Phys. Rev. 130, 1264 (1963).

⁹ V. N. Gribov and I. A. Pomeranchuk, Phys. Letters 2, 239 (1962).

¹⁰ Even if there were to be an important singularity slightly to the right of the Gribov-Pomeranchuk, it is conceivable that the arguments that follow might not need any major modifications.

We can expand both *N* and *D* in a Laurent series Recalling (III.3), we have finally about $l = \alpha(\infty)$,

$$
D_l(s) = 1 + \frac{r_D(s)}{l - \alpha(\infty)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n^D(s) [l - \alpha(\infty)]^n, \quad \text{(III.6)}
$$
\n
$$
W_e \text{ see that Eq. (III.13) implies for } \beta(s) = 1 + \frac{r_D(s)}{l - \alpha(\infty)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n^D(s) [l - \alpha(\infty)]^n,
$$

$$
N_l(s) = \frac{r_N(s)}{l - \alpha(\infty)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n N(s) [l - \alpha(\infty)]^n.
$$
 (III.7) behavior $\beta(s) \longrightarrow s^{\alpha(\infty)-1}.$

For our purposes it will be convenient to write the As long as $\alpha(\infty) < \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta(s)$ will vanish strongly enough equation for $N_l(s)$ as

$$
N_l(s) = D_l(s)B_l^{\prime}(s) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} ds' \frac{B_l^{\prime}(s')\rho_l(s')N_l(s')}{s'-s}.
$$
 (III.8)

$$
N_l(s) = D_l(s)B_l^P(s) + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{[l-\alpha(\infty)]}
$$

\n
$$
\times \int_{s_0}^{s_1} ds' \frac{B_l^P(s')\rho_l(s')r_N(s')}{s'-s} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [l-\alpha(\infty)]^n
$$

\nWe can illustrate the occurrence of multiple
\nnosymmetrical kernels by reference to
\nexample in linear algebraic equations.
\n
$$
\lambda x = y + Lx,
$$
\n
$$
\times \int_{s_0}^{s_1} ds' \frac{B_l^P(s')\rho_l(s')r_N(s')}{s'-s}.
$$
\n(III.9)
\nThe functions $B_l^P(s)$ and $\rho_l(s)$ also have expansions

about the point $l = \alpha(\infty)$: The operator *L* is readily seen to have a double eigen-

$$
B_l^P(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(s) [l - \alpha(\infty)]^n,
$$

\n
$$
\rho_l(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho_n(s) [l - \alpha(\infty)]^n.
$$
\n(III.10)

behavior in *s* as $s \rightarrow \infty$. We find the first term of (III.9) vanishes because $D_{\alpha(s)}(s) = 0$, giving

$$
N_{\alpha(s)}(s) \underset{s\to\infty}{\approx} -\frac{1}{s\pi} \frac{c}{\alpha(s) - \alpha(\infty)},
$$

\n
$$
c = \int_{s_0}^{s_1} ds' b_0(s') \rho_0(s') r_N(s').
$$

\n(III.11) The corresponding asymptotic behavior
\nresidue is
\n
$$
\gamma(s) \approx \text{const}(-s)^{-1/k}.
$$

$$
\frac{dD_l(s)}{dl}\Big|_{l=\alpha(s)} = -\frac{r_D(s)}{[\alpha(s)-\alpha(\infty)]^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n f_n^D(s)
$$
\n
$$
\times [\alpha(s)-\alpha(\infty)]^{n-1} \approx -\frac{r_D(s)}{[\alpha(s)-\alpha(\infty)]^2};
$$
\nSo, in order for property (5) of Sec.
\n
$$
\times [\alpha(s)-\alpha(\infty)]^{n-1} \approx -\frac{r_D(s)}{[\alpha(s)-\alpha(\infty)]^2};
$$
\n
$$
r_D(s) \approx \text{const/s}.
$$
\n(III.12) $\frac{1}{\text{ this point.}} \text{ This point.}$ \n(b) Find the result of the equation is $\alpha(\infty) < 1/k - 1/2$.

$$
\gamma(s) = \frac{c \alpha(s) - \alpha(\infty)}{\pi s} = \frac{\cos(\alpha - \alpha)}{s}.
$$
 (III.13)

We see that Eq. (III.13) implies for $\beta(s)$ an asymptotic behavior

$$
\beta(s) \xrightarrow[\text{as}]{\text{as}} s^{\alpha(\infty)-1}
$$

at infinity to satisfy property (5) of Sec. II.

 $N_f(\gamma) = D_f(\gamma) R F(r)$ One may ask if it is possible for multiple poles to develop at $l = \alpha(\infty)$ in our Fredholm equation. The $y = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{s_1} B_t^P(s') \rho_l(s') N_l(s')$ answer to the question is apparently "yes," because the $\left(\begin{array}{cc} \cdots \end{array} \right)$ (III.8) kernel $K_l'(s,s')$ is not symmetrical. When the kernel is not symmetrical there is no assurance it can be diagonal-Thus, inserting (III.7) into (III.8), into the symmetrical theory of the symmetrical theory is not specifical theory of the symmetric can be discovered the canon-Thus, inserting (III.7) into (III.8),

Thus, inserting (III.7) into (III.8),

Thus, inserting (III.7) into (III.8),

Something the continuation of a magnetic behavior complicates the question of asymptotic behavior.

> We can illustrate the occurrence of multiple poles for nonsymmetrical kernels by reference to a simple example in linear algebraic equations. Consider the

$$
\lambda x = y + Lx, \qquad (III.14)
$$

{s') where

$$
x = \binom{x_1}{x_2}, \quad y = \binom{y_1}{y_2}, \quad L = \binom{1 \quad 1}{0 \quad 1}. \quad \text{(III.15)}
$$

value for $\lambda = 1$, but there is only one eigenvector $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ Thus L cannot be diagonalized and the solution to (III.14) has a double pole when $\lambda = 1$. Because of the complete correspondence that exists between systems of linear-algebraic and Fredholm integral equations, we may infer that the above result is quite general and Substituting $l = \alpha(s)$ into (III.9), we keep the leading we may expect to find, for nonsymmetric kernels, *k*th-behavior in s as $s \rightarrow \infty$. We find the first term of (III.9) order Fredholm poles.¹¹

> In the case of k th-order poles, reasoning similar to that leading to Eq. (III.3) gives

$$
\alpha(s) - \alpha(\infty) = \text{const}(-s)^{-1/k}.\tag{III.16}
$$

The corresponding asymptotic behavior for the reduced residue is

$$
\gamma(s) \approx \text{const}(-s)^{-1/k}.\tag{III.17}
$$

We also have \qquad In this case the high-energy behavior is

$$
\beta(s) \xrightarrow[s \to s]{} s^{\alpha(\infty)-1/k}.
$$

 S_0 , in order for property (5) of Sec. II to be true, we were the second to S_1 must have

$$
\alpha(\infty)\!<\!1/k\!-\!1/2
$$

 $n¹¹$ The author is grateful to Roland L. Omnes for discussion on *-*°°* this point.

It should be emphasized that in both the simple and multiple-pole cases, the asymptotic behavior deduced puts an upper bound on the behavior; the residues may vanish more rapidly.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have established the conditions under which Eqs. $(II.1)$ and $(II.2)$ are expected to comprise a good approximation to the scattering amplitude in the strip region of Fig. 1. The most important requirement for the consistency of the approximation is that the residues β vanish at infinity. As we have indicated in footnote 5, it is not necessary to require that the residues fall off as rapidly as the inverse square root of the energy in order for the neglected part of the amplitude to vanish at infinity. It should be mentioned, however, that if the vanishing of the residues is weaker than inverse square-root behavior, we shall generally find spurious singularities to the right of Re $l=\frac{1}{2}$ and we may not be able to satisfy property (3) of Sec. II.

An examination of the dynamical equations in the asymptotic limit has shown that the behavior of $\beta(s)$ at infinity is controlled by $\alpha(\infty)$, a quantity not known precisely before numerical calculations are performed. We can, however, conclude in the case of the Fredholm pole giving rise to $\alpha(\infty)$ is simple that the restriction of $\alpha(\infty)$ to less than unity guarantees the asymptotic vanishing of $\beta(s)$. Thus there is every indication that self-consistent solutions to the strip equations will exist although a detailed verification of self-consistency must await numerical solution of the integral equations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to Professor Geoffrey F. Chew for the guidance and suggestions helpfully given during the course of this work.

PHYSICAL REVIEW VOLUME 135, NUMBER 1B 13 JULY 1964

Possible Existence of a Boson Icosuplet

B. W. LEE

Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

AND

S. OKUBO AND J. SCHECTEK. *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York* (Received 24 February 1964)

It is pointed out that the resonance (B_1) of the $\pi\omega$ system at 1220 MeV and the resonance (B_2) of the $\pi\rho$ system at **1200** MeV may form parts of an icosuplet consisting of a boson decuplet and its charge conjugate. Mixing of the two isotriplets in the decuplet and the conjugate decuplet is noted: B_1 and B_2 which are eigenstates of the operator *G* are linear combinations of isotriplets in the **{10}** and **{10}*** representations. Consequences of this assumption are explored. The possibility that the $K\pi\pi$ resonance at 1175 MeV may belong to this icosuplet is also discussed.

R ECENTLY, a resonance in the $\pi\omega$ system (B_1) has been found at 1220 MeV.¹ Furthermore, there are been found at 1220 MeV.¹ Furthermore, there are some indications that a $\pi \rho$ resonance exists at 1200 MeV.² The isotopic spin of the $\pi \rho$ resonance is known to be greater than $zero^2$; in the present note we shall assume it to be 1. The purpose of this note is to point out the possibility that they may form parts of an icosuplet³ made up of a boson decuplet and its charge conjugate in the unitary symmetry model⁴ of strong

interactions, and to investigate the consequences of this assumption. We shall also investigate the possibility that the newly discovered $\pi\pi K$ resonance at⁵ 1175 MeV may be a part of this boson multiplet.

There are two interesting features of a boson decuplet and its antiparticle multiplet:

(1) There are two physically observable isotriplets of zero strangeness. They are two linear combinations of the triplets in the decuplet representation and its conjugate.

(2) If the spin-parity of the icosuplet is 0^+ , 2^+ , 4^+ , etc., particles of this multiplet cannot decay into two pseudoscalar octet mesons in the limit of unitary symmetry. (If the spin-parity is $1^+, 3^+, \cdots$ the decay is for-

¹ M. Abolins, R. L. Lander, W. W. Mehlhop, Ng.h. Xuong, and
P. M. Yager, Phys. Rev. Letters 11, 381 (1963).
² G. Goldhaber, J. Brown, S. Goldhaber, J. Kadyk, B. Shen, and

G. Trilling (to be published).
³ From the Greek prefix "*excor*" as in icosahedron. The equiva-
lent Latin form is "*viginiti.*" The etymologically impure (since
the suffix "*plet*" seems to originate from Latin "*plex*

pronunciation. ⁴M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. 125, 1067 (1962); unpublished. Y. Neeman, Nucl. Phys. 26, 222 (1962).

⁵ T. P. Wangler, W. D. Walker, and A. R. Erwin (to be published).